# State of Wisconsin/Department of Transportation RESEARCH PROGRESS REPORT FOR THE QUARTER ENDING: Dec 31, 2002

Program: SPR-0010(36) FFY99 Part: II Research and Development

Project Title: Evaluation of Interlayer Bonding in HMA Pavements Project ID: 0092-02-13

Administrative Contact: Nina McLawhorn Sponsor:

WisDOT Technical Contact: Len Makowski

Approved by COR/Steering Committee: \$49,510.66

Approved Ending Date: Oct 29, 2002

Approved Ending Date: Oct 28, 2003

Project Investigator (agency & contact): Yusuf Mehta: Error! Bookmark not defined.

Description: This study will be conducted over 12 months and will consist of the following four tasks

Task I: Data Collection
Task II: Literature Review

Task III: Evaluation of Tack Products & Application Rates & Other Techniques

Task IV: Final Report

Total	Current	Expenditures for	Total Expenditures	Percent
Study Budget	FFY Budget	Current Quarter	to Date	Complete
\$49,510.66	\$24,755.33	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Error! Bookmark not defined.

#### **Progress This Quarter:**

(Includes project committee mtgs, work plan status, contract status, significant progress, etc.)

The work on the project began on January 01 2003 after consulation and approval to proceed from Mr. Thomas Brokaw of Wisconsin Department of Transportation.

The project began with Task I: Data Collection and Task II: Literature Review

### **Objective**

- 1. To determine the state of the art practice and issues facing state agencies (including Wisconsin DOT) throughout the country.
- 2. To identify projects in Wisconsin that have shown interlayer slippage problems during and after construction and also projects, which have not shown any problems.

### **Task Completed**

1. Based on an extensive literature review, a survey sheet was developed (survey questionnaire attached)

## **Ongoing Tasks**

- 1. The research team has begun contacting various state agencies to collect the following data:
  - a. Issues/Concerns related to interlayer pavement bonding.
  - b. If yes, are they related to pavement structure, type of tack coat, or construction practice.
  - c. Pavement structural data/FWD data and quality control data on good and poor performing sections with tack coat, if any.
  - d. Current specifications on tack coat and its application or on other techniques used to ensure bonding.
- 2. Obtain data on various projects exhibiting both poor and good interlayer bonding performance from Wisconsin DOT.

To date six state agencies have been contacted, two of them have provided all the necessary information and agreed to provide monitoring data. The research team will contiue to collect information from various state agencies.

The Survey Questionnaire sheet

Date:

#### State:

#### **Contact Information:**

Name:

Phone/email:

Other:

#### **Questions concerning construction issues:**

- 1. Number of construction projects using tack coat per year:
- 2. Are any other techniques used for interlayer bonding?
- 3. Are there any specific conditions under which tack coat is applied? (example: traffic, pavement surface, weather conditions)
- 4. Are there any issues with strength of tack? Premature stiffening of tack?
- 5. Any specific problems observed during application?
- 6. Are there any issues with following specifications?
- 7. Are there any penalties for following specifications? (re-do's?)
- 8. Any difference in pavement performance due to tack coat?

  Any aging concerns? (example: sliding, shoving, rutting, failure cracking)
- 9. What are the pavement structures like? (layers, thicknesses) (both with and without tack)
- 10. Any overall concerns?
- 11. Any monitoring of pavement performance related to tack coat? (FWD's?)
- 12. Availability of the data of pavement sections / performance where tack coat or any other techniques were used?
- 13. Open ended question:
  - Based on experience, should the state of the art of practice change?
- 14. Who supplies the tack coat? Is application out-sourced?

#### **Questions concerning Specifications:** (a copy of relevant specifications is requested)

- 1. Type of tack?
- 2. Application rate?
- 3. Curing period?
- 4. Temperature of tack?
- 5. Required uniform application?
- 6. Uniformity at junction of applications?
- 7. Air temperature range?
- 8. Weather conditions? (mist, rain, snow conditions?)
- 9. Milled / non-milled?
- 10. Surface condition? (Clean of debris? Dry?)

#### **Work Next Quarter:**

- 1. Synthesize and analyze the data collected from the survey to determine if there are any trends and patterns that could identify possible causes of interlayer bonding slippage and steps taken to prevent it.
- 2. Identify projects in Wisconsin DOT that have shown interlayer slippage during and after construction, and also projects which have not shown any problems. Also, identify new construction projects for inspection.
- 3. In March, when the construction season begins in Wisconsin, coordinate with the construction crew and an assistant from ERES Consultants, Inc to conduct inspection of these projects in Wisconsin highways and collect data.

#### **Circumstances affecting progress/budget:**

Paperwork issues delayed the start of work by almost two months.

### **Gantt Chart:**

PROJECT I.D. STARTIN		TARTING DATE COMPLETION DATE		MONTH	REPORT#					
	Oct 29	Oct	28		FEB 05					
PROJECT # 0092-02-13	2002	2003	3		2003	1		PERCE	NT OF	
CONSULTANT FIRM NAME		% TIME ELAP	SED	TOTAL PROJECT FU	JNDING	CONTRACT FUNDING				ı
Rowan University		25.00%		0% 0%			7			
NAME OF STUDY							Project	Task	Task	Project
EVALUATION OF INTERLAYER BONDING IN HMA PA	AVEMENTS					_	Complete	Complete	Complete	
	YEAR			003			Last Report	This Report		
TASK*	MONTH	Qtr 1	Qtr 2	Qtr :	3	Qtr 4				
TASK 1:										
Data Collection*							20	0	11	2
TASK 2:										-
Literature Review*							20	0	16	3
TASK 3*:										
Evaluation of Tack Products,							37	0	0	0
Application Rates, and Other Techniques										
										ı
TASK 4 :										
Final Report							23	0	0	0
SHOW PROGRESS BY USE OF A BAR CHART:	SCHEDULED									
	COMPLETED						100			5

<sup>\*</sup> Work began on January 1, 2003

Note: Gantt chart shown in State Fiscal Year Quarters